

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1997
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- S064897 In re Mission Insurance Company et al. in Liquidation
2nd Dist. -----
B107839 Charles Quackenbush, as Insurance Commissioner, etc., Respondent
Div. 1 v.
Allstate Insurance Company, Appellant
Pursuant to written request of counsel for petitioner, the above
entitled petition for review is ordered withdrawn.
- S017869 People, Respondent
v.
Kristin William Hughes, Appellant
Based on the representation of Donald J. Ayoob that he will
“marshal . . . efforts over the next sixty days to ensure completion of
the brief . . .” and that “barring extraordinary circumstances, I
anticipate that we will complete appellant’s opening brief in
approximately 90 to 120 days [from November 12, 1997].” the time
for filing appellant’s opening brief is extended to and including
January 12, 1998.
In the future, any request for an extension of time in this matter
must be filed at least seven days before the brief is due. The court
will not grant an extension beyond the “anticipated” date set out
above except on a showing of extraordinary circumstances.
- S057313 In re Clarence Ray, Jr.
on
Habeas Corpus
On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is
ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner’s reply to informal
response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and
including January 20, 1998.
- S059454 People, Respondent
v.
Hal Lee Flood, Appellant
On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is
ordered that the time to serve and file respondent’s answer brief on
the merits is extended to and including November 24, 1997.

- S059827 Joaquin Alvarado, Petitioner
 v.
 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent
 People, Real Party in Interest
 And Companion Case
 On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing,
 it is ordered that the time to serve and file real party in interest's
 answer to amicus curiae brief of ACLU Foundation of Southern
 California is extended to and including December 15, 1997.
- S060768 In re Jimmie D. Segura
 on
 Habeas Corpus
 On application of the Attorney General and good cause
 appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal
 response is extended to and including January 20, 1998.
- S061215 Cates Construction, Inc. et al., Appellants
 v.
 Talbot Partners et al., Respondents
 And Companion Case
 On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file respondents' answer brief on
 the merits is extended to and including December 22, 1997.
- S062670 People, Petitioner
 v.
 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent
 Melvin Ray J. et al., Real Parties in Interest
 On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is
 ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's answer brief on
 the merits is extended to and including November 24, 1997.
- S060624 In re Oscar Gates
 on
 Habeas Corpus
 On application of the Attorney General and good cause
 appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file informal
 response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and
 including January 17, 1998.
- S065139 In re Curtis Lynn Fauber

on
Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including January 23, 1998.]

S032509 People, Respondent

v.

Erik Sanford Chatman, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Mark Goldrosen is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his automatic appeal now pending in this court, including any related habeas proceedings.

S063516 People, Respondent

v.

Kenneth Arthur Bogard, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Jan Stiglitz is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

S063806 People, Respondent

v.

Darryl Lee Love, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Sharon G. Wrubel is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Bar
Misc.
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S033998 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the suspension of **Carl Bruce Shasky**, pursuant to our order filed on July 21, 1995, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

S032917 In re **Babatunde Adeyemi Oladapo** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that the probation previously imposed in case no. S049543 (92-O-15100) be revoked, that the previously ordered stay of execution of the suspension in that matter be lifted, and that **Babatunde Adeyemi Oladapo** be actually suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he makes restitution to the following persons (or to the State Bar Client Security Fund if appropriate) in the following stated amounts, plus 10% interest per annum accruing from the stated dates, and furnishes satisfactory proof that he has done so to the thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>
Hau Long Lew, D.C.	\$2,000	November 30, 1992
Manuel Barraza	2,534	December 8, 1992
Manuel Barraza as guardian for Manuel Barraza, Jr.	375	December 8, 1992
Fatemah Asfia	8,000	February 24, 1993
Fatemah Asfia as guardian for Marmar Fasseghi	4,875	February 24, 1993
Fatemah Asfia as guardian for Marjan Fasseghi	4,875	February 24, 1993
Fatemah Asfia as guardian for Alireza Fasseghi	3,750	February 24, 1993
Alan Wan	2,000	February 16, 1993
Dr. David Kwan	2,000	February 16, 1993
Jacqueline Jordan	4,000	January 25, 1993
Angel Rios Hernandez	3,200	December 8, 1992
Angel Rios Hernandez as guardian for Gerardo Hernandez	2,625	December 8, 1992
Jose Mata	21,050	January 4, 1993

Dr. Augusto Rojas 6,950 January 4, 1993.

It is further ordered that he shall remain actually suspended from the practice of law until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is also ordered to attend and successfully complete the State Bar's Ethics School seminar during the period of his actual suspension and to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, and provide the State Bar Probation Unit with satisfactory proof of his passage of that examination, during the period of his actual suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S038732 In re **Richard Hagen Klein, aka Richard Jazowski**,
on Reinstatement

Upon petition for reinstatement and recommendation of the State Bar of California, it is ordered that **Richard Hagen Klein, previously known as Richard Jazowski**, be reinstated as a member of the State Bar of California upon payment of the fees and taking the oath required by law.

S038854 In re **Scott D. Raphael** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Scott D. Raphael** be suspended from the practice of law for 30 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on a new one-year period of probation subject to the conditions recommended by the Review Department in its opinion filed April 21, 1997, including 60 days actual suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code § 6086.10 payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code §6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S050556 In re **Steven D. Bassett** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in S050556 (93-O-17397) is lifted, and **Steven D. Bassett** shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California

Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064160 In re **Robert Othniel Walsh** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert Othniel Walsh** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed July 3, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S064165 In re **Attilio Mario Regolo, Jr.**, on Discipline

It is ordered that **Attilio Mario Regolo, Jr.**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Regarding Stipulation filed May 23, 1997, as modified by its order filed July 24, 1997. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S064251 In re **Frederick Hill Stein** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Frederick Hill Stein** be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is also ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code section 6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064252 In re **Corey Leon Steele** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Corey Leon Steele** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §6086.10 payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code §6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064253 In re **Alfonso A. Oliva** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Alfonso A. Oliva** be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including one year actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed July 14, 1997. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and

Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S064255 In re **Edward Francis Graziano** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Edward Francis Graziano** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code §6086.10 payable in accordance with Bus. & Prof. Code §6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S065246 In the Matter of the Resignation of **Charles Taub**
(**FKA Harry Charles Taub**)

A Member of the State Bar of California

The voluntary resignation of Charles Taub (formerly known as Harry Charles Taub) as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against him should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)